INTRODUCTION

Liver is one of the largest organs in the human body and regulates homeostasis of the body [1] metabolic [2] and excretory functions [3]. It involves almost all the biochemical pathways to growth, fight against disease, nutrient supply and reproduction [4]. Hepatotoxicity is a growing concern of today’s modern society and its rate has been reported to be much higher in developing countries like India (8-30%) compared to that in advanced countries (2-3%) with a similar dose schedule [5].

A large number of plants and formulations have been claimed to have hepatoprotective activity *Annona squamosa* [6], *Vitis vinifera* [7], *Momordica charantia* [8], *Zanthoxylum armatum* [9], *Tephrosia purpurea* [10].

*Acaica nilotica* L. is a common, medium sized tree, locally known as 'Babu' or 'Kikar' belonging to family Leguminosae [11]. It distributed throughout the greater part of India, Ceylon, Bahuchistan, Egypt, Africa and its parts like bark, leave, pods and flower and widely used in various Ayurvedic formulations [12]. The various chemical constituents such as alkaloids, flavonoids, Glycoside, saponins, tannins, stearic acid, vitamin-C (ascorbic acid) carotene present in this plant [13-14]. *A. nilotica* has been reported as an effective medicine in the treatment of malaria, sore throat [15], asthma, cough, flu, rheumatism, hemorrhoid, and inflammation [16], liver infection (Hepatitis C Virus) [17], cancers, tumors [18], congestion, diarrhoea, dysentery, hemorrhoids, ophthalmia, tuberculosis, leprosy and menstrual problems [19], plasmodial disease [20], bacterial disease [21], body vigour [22], wound healing [23], cytotoxicity [24], burning sensation [25], toxicities [26], platelets aggregation[27], helminthes infection [28], diabetes and hypolipidemia activity [29].

Therefore, this study were aimed to evaluate the hepatoprotective activity of hydroethanolic extract of the *A. nilotica* (Bark) and also indicate possible mechanism for its hepatoprotection by investigation with biochemical and histopathological parameter against anti-tubercular drugs induced hepatotoxicity in rats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of plant material

The fresh bark of the *A. nilotica* was collected in the month of May 2013 from the National Botanical Research Institute Lucknow and plants were authenticated with existed live specimen present in our Institutional Garden and herbarium prepared and deposited in the laboratory for future reference.

Preparation of extract

After collection and authentication, shade-dried bark (500g) of *A. nilotica* was powdered and extracted with 50% ethanol at 50°C on a water bath using Soxhlet extractor for 24 h. Then extract was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure in a rotavapour (Buchi R-200 USA) at 45°C and then freeze-dried in lyophilizer (Labconco, USA) to obtain solid residue (ASE yield 20.0% w/w).

Experimental animals

Albino wistar rats (150-200g) of either sex were taken from the animal house of the National Laboratory Animal Centre, Lucknow, India. They were kept under controlled conditions of temperature 27±2°C and relative humidity 44-56%, light/dark cycles of 12 hours respectively for one week before and during the experiments. Animals were provided with a standard rodent pellet diet (Amrut, India) and the food was withdrawn 18-24 h before the experiment though water was allowed ad libitum. All experiments were performed in the morning accordance with the current guidelines for the care of laboratory animals and the ethical guidelines for investigations of experimental pain in conscious animals [30] approved by the Institutional Committee for Ethical use of Animals and Review Board (106/IAEC/BB/7-11).

Preliminary phytochemical analysis

The 50% Ethanolic extract of *A. nilotica* (bark) was screened for the presence of various phytochemical constituents such as alkaloids (wager’s reagent), flavonoids (shinoda test), Glycoside
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6 animals and followed as per the protocol given below:

Group1 – Rats were treated with normal saline
Group2 – Rats were treated with INH + RIF (50mg/kg)
Group3 – Rats were treated with INH + RIF + A. nilotica (200 mg/kg)
Group4 – Rats were treated with INH + RIF + A. nilotica (400 mg/kg)
Group5 – Rats were treated with INH + RIF + Silymarin (100 mg/kg)

Animals were euthenised 48 after administration of the last dose. The blood samples were collected by cardiac puncture after an overnight fast. The blood was allowed to coagulate at room temperature for 45 min and then centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 15 min for separation of serum. The serum was used for the biochemical analysis.

Biochemical assessment

The biochemical parameters like serum enzymes: which includes aspartate aminotransaminase (AST), alanine aminotransaminase (ALT) [34], alkaline phosphatase (ALP) [35] and total bilirubin [36] were assessed.

Table 1: Effect of 50% ethanolic bark extract of A. nilotica on body and liver weight of RIF+INH induced hepatotoxicity in Rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment/dose</th>
<th>Body weight</th>
<th>Liver weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>195.00±4.84</td>
<td>6.30±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIF+INH (50mg/kg)</td>
<td>185.5±3.51</td>
<td>7.53±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. nilotica (200 mg/kg)</td>
<td>187.5±4.97*</td>
<td>6.60±0.23**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. nilotica (400 mg/kg)</td>
<td>188.5±3.36**</td>
<td>6.46±0.10**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silymarin (100 mg/kg)</td>
<td>188.3±3.34**</td>
<td>6.31±0.03**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as Mean ± SEM of 6 rats in each group. *p<0.001 when compared to respective control and **p<0.001 when compared to the respective RIF+INH control group.

Table 2: Effect of A. nilotica on serum SGPT (U/L), SGOT (U/L), ALP (U/L) and Total Bilirubin level (mg/dL) against RIF+INH induced liver toxicity in rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment/Dose</th>
<th>SGPT (U/L)</th>
<th>SGOT (U/L)</th>
<th>ALP (U/L)</th>
<th>Total Bilirubin(mg/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>58.74±7.74</td>
<td>106.28±9.23</td>
<td>83.55±6.52</td>
<td>0.54±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIF+INH (50mg/kg)</td>
<td>170.81±7.55</td>
<td>223.72±15.87</td>
<td>138.27±7.97</td>
<td>0.76±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. nilotica (200 mg/kg)</td>
<td>92.46±4.73**</td>
<td>158.54±8.00**</td>
<td>109.07±8.33*</td>
<td>1.09±0.14**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. nilotica (400 mg/kg)</td>
<td>64.09±3.76**</td>
<td>126.76±11.70**</td>
<td>89.64±5.82**</td>
<td>0.61±0.11**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silymarin (100 mg/kg)</td>
<td>68.87±4.43**</td>
<td>135.68±3.77**</td>
<td>89.36±5.74**</td>
<td>1.03±0.10**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed in Mean ± SEM of 6 rats in each group. *p<0.001, **p<0.01 when compared with respective RIF+INH treated group.

Effect of Test drug on SGPT, SGOT, ALP and Total Bilirubin

It is clearly evident (Table 2) that RIF+INH caused a significant elevation of liver serum markers. In the RIF+INH treated group, the level of SGPT (58.74±7.74 to 170.81±7.55, p<0.001), SGOT (106.28±9.23 to 223.72±15.87, p<0.001), SALP (83.55±6.52 to 138.27±7.97, p<0.001). Total Bilirubin (0.54±0.03 to 1.76±0.09, p<0.001). In contrast, the groups treated with 50% ethanolic bark extracts of A. nilotica at a dose of (200 and 400 mg/kg) once daily for 28 days prevented the hepatotoxicity in a dose related manner. The ranges of protection in the serum marker were found to be SGPT (170.81±7.55 to 106.28±9.23, p<0.001), SGOT (223.72±15.87 to 138.27±7.97, p<0.001), ALP (138.27±7.97 to 89.64±5.82, p<0.001) and Total Bilirubin (1.76±0.09 to 0.54±0.03, p<0.001).

Histological investigation

10% formalin solution was freshly prepared and the right liver lobe of the treated and control group was fixed in the solution for 48 hours and subsequently dehydrated in alcohol, cleared with xylem and embedded in paraffin wax. Sections of lobe at about 5μm were mounted on glass slides and stained with haematoxylin and eosin [37].

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by multiple Comparisons using Dunnett’s procedure, to compare all groups against control and Student-Newman-Keul’s procedure to compare all the groups pair wise.

RESULTS

Preliminary phytochemical analysis

The 50% ethanolic bark extract of A. nilotica were screened for the presence of various phytochemical constituents such as alkaloids, flavonoids, glycoside, saponins, tannins and steroids.

50% ethanolic bark extract of A. nilotica at a dose of 200 and 400 mg/kg and standard drug Silymarin at a dose of 100mg/kg once daily for 28 days was subjected to observing body and liver weight in hepatotoxic rats. The study showed that the body weights were significantly decreased from (195.00 to 185.5 p<0.05) in RIF+INH groups. However, 50% ethanolic plant extracts showed normalise the body weight in a dose dependent manner. On comparing plant extract with Silymarin (100mg/kg) it shows that A. nilotica at 200mg/kg is less effective than 400mg/kg plant extract.

The study showed that the liver weight was increased from 6.30 ± 0.07 to 7.53± 0.16 in RIF+INH groups. However, 50% ethanolic bark extracts of A. nilotica normalise liver weight in a dose dependent manner. Silymarin (100mg/kg) showed significant reduction in liver weight compared to RIF+INH groups (Table 1).
The lipid peroxidation and the enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant level in liver of experimental animals. Administration of RIF+INH led to increase in the levels of LPO (0.42±0.04 to 4.3±0.39, p<0.001) and decrease in enzymatic scavenger viz. CAT (23.14±2.14 to 7.05±0.40, p<0.001), SOD (113.47±2.41 to 54.36±3.52, p<0.001) levels in the liver homogenate. Treatment of rats with 50% ethonolic bark extracts of A. nilotica at dose of (200 - 400 mg/kg) markedly prevented the RIF+INH induced alterations of various parameters like LPO (4.43±0.39 to 0.79±0.06, p<0.001), CAT (7.05±0.40 to 20.37±0.76, p<0.001), SOD (54.36±3.52 to 90.05±2.62, p>0.05 to p<0.001). The protection of Silymarin for LPO (4.43±0.39 to 0.79±0.06, p<0.001), CAT (7.05±0.40 to 21.37±0.76, p<0.001), SOD (54.36±3.52 to 101.80±2.88, p<0.001) respectively (Table 3).

**Table 3: Effect of A. nilotica (bark) on liver LPO (MDA nmole/min/mg of protein), SOD (unit/mg of protein) and CAT (units/mg of protein).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment/Dose</th>
<th>CAT (U/mg)</th>
<th>SOD (U/mg)</th>
<th>LPO (U/mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>23.14±2.14</td>
<td>113.47±2.41</td>
<td>0.42±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIF+INH (50mg/kg)</td>
<td>7.05±0.40</td>
<td>54.36±3.52</td>
<td>4.43±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. nilotica (200mg/Kg)</td>
<td>15.16±1.02*</td>
<td>79.20±4.19</td>
<td>3.27±0.37*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. nilotica (400mg/Kg)</td>
<td>20.37±0.76**</td>
<td>90.05±2.62</td>
<td>0.94±0.06**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silymarin (100mg/Kg)</td>
<td>21.37±0.76**</td>
<td>101.80±2.88</td>
<td>0.79±0.06**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as Mean ± SEM of 6 rats in each group.*p<0.01, **p<0.001, when compared with respective RIF+INH treated group.

**Fig. 1: A) Liver section of normal control rats showing normal hepatic cells. B) Liver sections of RIF+ INH (50 mg/kg)treated rats showing, massive fatty changes, necrosis, balloon formation, central vein congestion, loss of cellular boundaries and mononuclear inflammation. C) Liver sections of rats treated with RIF+ INH (50 mg/ kg) + A. nilotica extracts (200mg/kg) x 28 days, showing hepatic cells with well preserved cytoplasm, prominent nucleus, some of central veins and sinusoids exhibited congestion. D) Liver sections of rats treated with RIF+ INH (50 mg/ kg) + A. nilotica ethonolic bark extracts (400mg/kg) x 28 days showing well brought out central vein, hepatic cells with well preserved cytoplasms & prominent nucleus. E) Liver section of rats treated with RIF+INH (50mg/kg each) + Silymarin (100 mg/kg)×28 days, showing, well brought out central vein, hepatic cell with well preserved cytoplasm, prominent nucleus & nearby normal liver architecture.**

**Effect of A. nilotica bark Extract on Histopathology**

After assessing the liver histology, control group showed normal hepatic cells with the well preserved cytoplasm; well brought out Central veins; prominent nucleus in all animals. In RIF+ INH treated group, of animals showed RIF+ INH (50 mg/kg) treated rats showing, massive fatty changes, necrosis, balloon formation. Animals treated with RIF+ INH (50 mg/ kg) + A. nilotica extracts (200mg/kg & 400mg/kg) x 28 days, showing hepatic cells with well preserved cytoplasm, prominent nucleus, some of central veins and sinusoids exhibited congestion, hepatic cells with well preserved cytoplasms & prominent nucleus. Treatment with Silymarin showed recovery from toxicity (Fig. 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the most common infectious diseases in India; pulmonary tuberculosis is one of the major causes of adult deaths [38]. INH & RIF drug are considered to be first choice to treat a specific condition used for tuberculosis chemotherapy are associated with hepatotoxicity [39]. The administration of INH and RIF, the most common medication prescribed against tuberculosis, produces many metabolic and morphological aberrations in liver due to the fact that the liver is the main detoxifying site for these anti-tubercular drugs. These antitubercular drugs induce hepatotoxicity by a multiple step mechanism. Isoniazid is acetylated and then hydrolyzed, resulting in isonicotinic acid and monodactyl hydrzine; the later compound can be activated to a toxic species by cytochrome P-450 [40]. Rifampicin, a powerful inducer of drug metabolizing enzymes in man and rats contributes to the hepatotoxicity of ionized by enhancing the rate of production of toxic metabolites [41]. Hepatotoxicity is characterized by cirrhotic liver condition which in turn increased the bilirubin release [42].

Tuberculosis is one of the fatal communicative diseases and is spread easily amongst people. Over one-third of the world’s population is estimated to be infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis and over 2 million people a year will die of the disease [43]. Multi-drug resistant (MDR) strains of M. tuberculosis have emerged and a co-infection with AIDS were reported. This turned out that the WHO declared tuberculosis as 'Global health emergency' [44].

Evaluation of liver function can be made by estimating serum enzymes like SGOT, SGPT, ALP and Total Bilirubin, which present in high concentration in liver, due to hepatocyte necrosis or abnormal membrane permeability; these enzymes are released from the cells and their levels in the blood increases [46].

Image 77
In the present study, the hepatotoxicity model in Wistar rats was successfully produced by administering INH and RIF (50 mg/kg per day each). All serum markers were markedly increased from above the normal limits of 28 days of the experiment that indicate liver injury.

On primary screening of Photochemical quantitative analysis of 50% ethanolic bark extract of *A. nilotica* revealed the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, Glycoside, saponins, tannins and steroids are the major chemical constituents. The Phytochemical screening of the bark extract of *A. nilotica* shows the presence of anti oxidative components. These are helpful in the hepatoprotection against RIF+INH induced liver injury in rats.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study showed that the 50% ethanolic bark extract of *A. nilotica* has Hepatoprotective effects that were proven by the biochemical and Histopathological analysis. The bark extract of *A. nilotica* has showed does dependent activity (200 & 400 mg/kg). However, further investigation, suggest that an extract of *A. nilotica* constituents responsible for hepatoprotection.
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